Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/821,883	LAUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1642
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Jacqueline F. Mahoney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2004	Time: <u>3:00 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 7, 8, and 12-19 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance
(Evaminer/SDE Signature)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Ms. Mahoney, leaving a telephone voice message, informing her that claims 7 and 8 are allowable. The Examiner requested authorization to cancel claims 9-12, drawn to non-elected inventions; or alternatively, if Applicant wishes to have the merit of claims 9-12 considered, the Examiner requested that Applicant provide an amendment putting the claims in condition for rejoinder in accordance with the guidance provided by MPEP 821.04..